

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION

SHANNON GUESS RICHARDSON                    §  
v.    §        CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:15cv202  
ANDREW LEACH, ET AL.                            §

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Shannon Richardson, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of her constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

The magistrate judge analyzed the pleadings and documents in the case and issued a report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed with prejudice. Richardson received a copy of this report on January 14, 2016, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, she is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the record in this cause and the report of the magistrate judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the report of the magistrate judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243 (1989) (where no objections to a magistrate judge's report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.") It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the report of the magistrate judge (docket no. 55) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the motion for summary judgment filed by the Defendant Tim Bryan (docket no. 36) and the motion to dismiss filed by the Defendants Andrew Leach and Darrell Williams (docket no. 48) are **GRANTED** and the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is further

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

**SIGNED this 7th day of March, 2016.**

  
MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE